

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 453 222

TM 032 573

AUTHOR De Lawter, Kathryn; Sosin, Adrienne Andi
TITLE Collective Reflection as an Alternative Methodology in Curriculum Research and Teaching: Representations in Global Perspectives.
PUB DATE 2001-04-00
NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (Seattle, WA, April 10-14, 2001).
PUB TYPE Reports - Descriptive (141) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Curriculum Development; *Global Approach; Higher Education; *Multicultural Education; Preservice Teacher Education; *Preservice Teachers; *Reflective Teaching; Stereotypes; *Teacher Education
IDENTIFIERS *Calendars

ABSTRACT

This paper describes an alternative methodology used to study students' constructions of meaning as they engage in a curriculum-making project while taking "Global Perspectives," a foundational graduate preservice teacher education course at Pace University, New York. Global Perspectives offers opportunities to explore the power of suppositions and biases and to integrate world concerns and awareness of stereotyping into curriculum planning. The alternative methodology extends the collective reflection of interpersonal discourse through interpretation of multicultural calendar artifacts as texts. Viewing these artifacts created by students as texts and reviewing the statements made by the calendars' creators on videotape allows the two instructors to explore the focal and tacit dimensions of the students' expressed personal knowledge. Students' viewing of their representations and the artifacts made by their classmates as texts means that they can access how someone else thinks. This approach helps develop the global perspectives of preservice teachers. The calendar task makes future teachers' actions and reflections real in regard to the multicultural curriculum by requiring students to think about taken-for-granted notions of cultural and personal markings of time. (Contains 1 figure and 18 references.) (SLD)

Collective Reflection as an Alternative Methodology in Curriculum Research and Teaching: Representations in Global Perspectives

Kathryn De Lawter
Adrienne Andi Sosin

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

K. De Lawter

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☒ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to
improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this
document do not necessarily represent
official OERI position or policy.

This paper is prepared for the:
Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association in Seattle, WA
April 2001

Collective Reflection as an Alternative Methodology in Curriculum Research and Teaching: Representations in Global Perspectives

Kathryn De Lawter & Adrienne Andi Sosin

Our purpose is to invite reflective and constructive criticism of our alternative methodology in curriculum research and teaching, and our formulation of a shared conception of representation in teacher education. We negotiate meanings of representations in a process we call collective reflection, a pedagogical and research methodology we named in our self-study. We are looking at the representations created by students and the representations we have formed through our research. Our qualitative, multi-layered research study centers on students' constructions of meaning as they engage in a curriculum-making project while taking "Global Perspectives," a foundational graduate pre-service teacher education course at Pace University in New York City.

Global Perspectives offers opportunities to explore the power of presuppositions and biases, and to integrate world concerns and awareness of stereotyping into curriculum planning. Readings, activities and dialogical interactions address the issues of culture, power and curriculum integral to the goals of teacher preparation. Students get in touch with ideas and beliefs about how to approach diverse students and curriculum, address world concerns in their subject matters, and become confident that they can contribute to a school community. Global Perspectives calls for developing trusting relationships where students feel free to think imaginatively about realities and possibilities. The course provides for attention to meaning and students' expressions and examinations of personal knowledge. A project in which students construct a "multicultural calendar" artifact to be used in their future classrooms offers an expressive and powerful vehicle for creating representations that embody beliefs about multicultural curriculum and teaching.

Our alternative methodology extends the collective reflection of interpersonal discourse

through interpretation of the multicultural calendar artifacts as texts. This extension is founded on the philosophical underpinnings articulated by Ricoeur (1976). Thus, our collective reflection with each other initiates a research process that organizes both the representations made by our students and the representations from our interactions in conducting research.

Collective reflection is an iterative process, drawing upon prior representations for interpreting the original in new ways. Our understanding of representation has become a critical part of our study. Generative themes (Friere, 1970/1998) categorize emergent themes, which identify specific aspects of the representations. As demonstrative of our generative and emergent themes, in this session we will display and discuss the representations in two calendar artifacts: Julie's Calendar and Richard's Calendar. Both of these provide a sample of collective reflection in engaging with the artifacts as texts, and are a window on our praxis in teaching.

Our interpretative work has led to understandings and questions about the meanings that the students bring with them about time, culture and education. Viewing the multicultural calendars as artifacts and texts, and reviewing the statements made by the calendar's creators on videotape, we two instructors explore the focal and tacit dimensions of our students' expressed personal knowledge. We find that the calendar artifact informs us about the student's critical perspective.

We now define representation as the: 1) symbolic, tangible manifestations of meanings students formulate in response to course assignments, and 2) forms that result from researchers' decisions and the choice of methodologies. In many calendars, time and culture are represented in ways that demonstrate a continuum of the taken for granted to the critically understood. We have found evidence of other emergent themes, such as labeling as naming, original artifact creation, historical perspective, and the integration of world concerns as an indicator of the purposefulness of curriculum making. These and other emergent themes become the basis for seeking further evidence

in the calendars themselves of the students' approaches to critical thinking, critical theory and their personal constructions of meaning.

The calendar artifacts and other data sources identified are representations of meaning. We view representation as data sources that are evidence of students' and the teacher/researchers' meanings. The representations that appear in the calendar are indicative of both instructors and the student's interpretations of curriculum. They suggest how students approach learning, and respond to challenges, in particular, the challenge posed by the complex and ambiguous multicultural calendar assignment. Students' and teacher/researcher representations are emblematic of personal knowledge (Polanyi, 1964, 1967). The significance of personal knowledge is that it is recognizable in the form of qualities and values that students invest in the process of creating their artifacts and that educators invest in teaching and research. Our view is that representations are externalizations of meaning. These meaning constructions reveal how humans shape and are shaped by the world (Berger & Luckmann, 1967).

Our approach toward the calendar artifacts as inter- and intra-personally constructed objects leads to the interpretation of artifacts as texts (Ricoeur, 1976). As teacher educators, we have the responsibility and opportunities to be leader/participants in action, response, recognition, questioning, recording, and reflection, all of which constitutes "interpretive work" (Garfinkel, 1967). We extend students' interpretive work by asking them to create and relate to artifacts that are representations of their meanings. The assignment encourages imaginative thought, fosters risk-taking, invites creativity, nourishes mutuality, and cultivates questioning of taken-for-granted (Schutz, 1962) ways of viewing the world. Students' representations are revealing. What may be seen through interpreting calendars as texts are students' taken-for-granted views of time, culture, knowledge, and values.

Our constructivist research methodology interprets student artifacts as texts and affirms

articulation of meanings dialogically in collective reflection. We combine and adapt qualitative methodologies (Goetz & LeCompte, 1984; Merriam, 1998; Noffke, 1997; Oja & Smulyan, 1989; Stake, 1988; Wolcott, 1988; Yin, 1984) in multiple action research cycles. Data sources include the original calendar artifacts and their accompanying materials. Our data is triangulated through participant observation, conversations, use of holistic rating criteria, and analytic reviews of video and audio tape, with reflection upon the characteristics of physical artifacts and documents (Turner, 1974).

The schoolwork that we ask students to do is the way they come to know us, and how we come to know them. In the interpretive and interactive assignments we shape, create, and design, we encourage pre-service teachers to express their meanings (Cochran-Smith & Lytle, 1999) and to be imaginative in their forms of representation. Students' viewing of their representations and the artifacts made by their classmates as texts, means that they can access how someone else thinks - both the limitations and the insights. This is a different condition than often happens in classrooms, where assignments belong to the teacher and the students say to the teacher, "Tell me what you want." Students that give the teacher what they think the teacher wants are removed from the challenge and purposeful work of doing what is meaningful to them. The pre-service teachers' consciousness of stereotypes and world concerns is expressed but too often taken for granted in everyday pedagogical and curricular decisions. Developing awareness of global perspectives by pre-service teachers is therefore of great importance to teacher education. (Evans, 1997; Ladson-Billings, 1999).

Interpreting representations and calendar artifacts as texts in a collective reflection process is meaningful in that it develops pre-service teachers' global perspectives. The calendar task makes future teachers' actions and reflections in regard to multicultural curriculum real by requiring students to think about taken-for-granted notions of cultural and personal markings of time. Students are

challenged to envision themselves teaching. Acts of collective reflection transform social relations between pre-service teachers and teacher educators so that conceptions of time, culture, and curriculum are more likely to be critically perceived. Collective reflection makes space for participatory and democratic uses of language and actions in a classroom setting. We model collective reflection with our students, in the expectation that they will view teaching as praxis. Through the process of developing collective reflection about educational presuppositions, stereotypical biases, and human need on a global scale, pre-service teachers value insights, gain perspective, and envision themselves in multicultural classrooms of the 21st Century.

References

- Berger, P. & Luckmann, T. (1967). The social construction of reality: A treatise in the sociology of knowledge. Garden City, NY: Anchor Books, Doubleday.
- Cochran-Smith, M. & Lytle, S. (1999). Relationships of knowledge and practice: Teacher learning in communities. In A. Iran-Nejad & P.D. Pearson (Eds.), Review of research in education, 24. Washington, D.C.: American Educational Research Association. 249-305.
- Evans, E.D., Torrey, C.C., & Newton, S.D. (1997). Multicultural education requirements in teacher certification: A national survey. Multicultural Education. Spring, 1997. 9-11.
- Freire, P. (1970/1998). Pedagogy of the oppressed. New York: Continuum.
- Garfinkel, H. (1967). Studies in ethnomethodology. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Goetz, J.P & LeCompte, M.D. (1984). Ethnography and qualitative design in educational research. New York: Academic Press.
- Ladson-Billings, G. J. (1999). Preparing teachers for diverse student populations: A critical race theory perspective. In A. Iran-Nejad & P.D. Pearson (Eds.), Review of research in education, 24. Washington, D.C.: American Educational Research Association. 211-247.
- Merriam, S.B. (1998). Qualitative research and case study applications in education: Revised and expanded from case study research in education. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Noffke, S. (1997). Professional, personal, and political dimensions of action research. Review of Educational Research, 22, 305-343.
- Oja, S. N., & Smulyan, L. (1989). Collaborative action research: A developmental process. New York: Falmer Press.
- Polanyi, M. (1964). Personal knowledge: Towards a post-critical philosophy. New York: Harper & Row.
- Polanyi, M. (1967). The tacit dimension. New York: Doubleday.
- Ricoeur, P. (1976). Interpretation theory: discourse and the surplus of meaning. Fort Worth, TX: The Texas Christian University Press.
- Schutz, A. (1962). Collected papers: Studies in phenomenological philosophy. In Maurice Natanson, (Ed.) Collected papers: Studies in phenomenological philosophy. Volume I. The Hague: Martinus Nijoff.
- Stake, R.E. (1988). Case study methods in educational research. In R. M. Jaeger, (Ed.). Complementary methods for research in education. (pp. 253-265). Washington, D.C.: American Educational Research Association.
- Turner, R. (Ed.). (1974). Ethnomethodology: Selected readings. Middlesex, England: Penguin.
- Wolcott, H. F. (1988). Ethnographic research in education. In R. M. Jaeger, (Ed.). Complementary methods for research in education. (pp. 187-221). Washington, D.C.: American Educational Research Association.
- Yin, R.K. (1984). Case study research: Design and methods. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications.

Collective Reflection

Collective reflection is the negotiation of meaning in a process that makes focal otherwise taken-for-granted assumptions for the purpose of recognizing and valuing differences. This process reveals how people view their relationships, use language, and interpret and envision their roles in multicultural classrooms.

What representations are displayed in the artifacts themselves?

- Examples of Representations from Richard's Calendars and Julie's Calendar

Richard's Two Calendars

This calendar is intended to explain where the year, day, and seasons come from. I added the holidays in an attempt to bring in a multicultural element. But is this really all there is to a calendar? So I created another calendar, to be paired with this one. It's a silly calendar. I call it Richard's Calendar, and I want to suggest to my students that instead of using Gregory's calendar we use my calendar to measure time in the classroom.

Richard Gatjens

Julie's 52 Card Calendar Format: An Explanation

This deck of cards is a multicultural calendar. The symbols on the deck reflect just a few of the groups of people that I see around me in New York City. The artwork I selected was created by people who worked in their own countries and made it a point of their work to capture and broadcast elements of their own cultures. I have chosen human figures to represent the four suits in the deck because physical appearance is one way people associate themselves with their cultures. These artworks come from different periods of time and yet contain elements that are still found in each culture today.

Julie Mabey

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

What representations are elicited by our pedagogical methodologies?

Connecting the sample artifacts to the holistic criteria and characteristics of the project

Which representational forms support making connections and identifying particular themes?

Generative and Emergent Themes – Card sets categorize themes that have become evident thus far in this research

How do the representations in the calendar artifacts inform the layers of the research?

What generative and emerging themes are evident in these multicultural calendar artifacts?

For information & discussion, please contact:

Kathryn De Lawter, kdelafter@pace.edu,
212-346-1887
Andi Sosin, asosin@pace.edu, 212-346-1343



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

AERA



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

TM032573

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Collective Reflection as an Alternative Methodology in Curriculum Research and Teaching: Representations in Global Perspectives</i>	
Author(s): <i>De Lawter, Kathryn & Sosin, Adrienne Andl</i>	
Corporate Source: <i>PACE UNIVERSITY</i>	Publication Date: <i>April 2001</i>

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ _____ Sample _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
1

Level 1



The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ _____ Sample _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
2A

Level 2A



The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY _____ _____ Sample _____ TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
2B

Level 2B



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign
here,→
please

Signature: <i>Dr. Kathryn De Lawter & Andl Sosin</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Dr. Kathryn De Lawter & Andl Sosin</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>PACE UNIVERSITY School of Education One Pace Plaza New York, NY 10038</i>	Telephone: <i>212-346-1887</i>	FAX: <i>212-346-1746</i>
	E-Mail Address: <i>kdelawter@pace.edu asosin@pace.edu</i>	Date: <i>April 13, 2001</i>

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

University of Maryland
ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation
1129 Shriver Laboratory
College Park, MD 20742
Attn: Acquisitions

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
1100 West Street, 2nd Floor
Laurel, Maryland 20707-3598

Telephone: 301-497-4080
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-953-0263
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>